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#### Abstract

Summary In 2019, a cotton variety testing program was established as a new service created by Windstar Inc. affiliated gins. These gins are working together to support a Cotton Agronomics Manager position. One of the components of this program is to work with local producers to scientifically evaluate varieties in a commercial on-farm setting from planting through ginning. These unique replicated trials are planted and harvested with the grower's commercial equipment. Each variety's round modules are combined across all replicates and then ginned and classed separately in an extremely detailed manner. Seed weights are captured for each variety and thus seed value is determined. Net gin credit includes seed value and is calculated by subtracting the ginning cost from the seed value. Purging and weighing any remnant bale from the press is also performed for each variety. All lint samples from each variety's commercial bales are then classed by the USDA-AMS classing office. This detailed ginning and classing management of all round modules for each variety is key to the success of this program and to the best of our knowledge is without peer in the U.S. ginning industry.


Excess nitrogen ( N ) can have a very significant negative impact on crop maturity and quality but has been poorly researched in this region. Since many growers in our service area are rotating to cotton following corn, N rate trials have been established for the past three growing seasons. In 2022, an N management trial was planned and executed in cooperation with Ag Partners (growers) and Kyle Kight (manager) with Ag Ingenuity, Gruver.

On January 10, the trial area was deep sampled to a depth of 48 inches by Ag Ingenuity. Approximately 20 soil cores were taken, and each partitioned into increments of 0-6, 6-12, 12-$18,18-24,24-36$, and $36-48$ inches. Soil for each increment was combined across cores. Therefore, six total samples were submitted to Servi-Tech Laboratory in Amarillo for nitrate-N analyses. The 0 -to- 24 -inch profile contained a total of $104 \mathrm{lb} / a c r e ~ r e s i d u a l ~ n i t r a t e-~ N, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ 0-~$

recommendations of $50 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} /$ bale of yield goal, a total of $150 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} /$ acre would be required for 3bale/acre production. Once the 0-to-24-inch profile nitrate-N is subtracted from that amount, a typical N fertilizer recommendation would be $46 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} /$ acre.

This trial included an unfertilized control ( 0 N ) and rates of 50,100 , and $150 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} /$ acre. Four replicates of N rates were applied in a scientifically valid randomized complete block experimental design. The previous crop was corn, and land preparation included strip tillage for N fertilizer rate application. On April 29, N rates were band applied by strip-till injection and 32-0-0 (urea-ammonium nitrate or UAN) was used as the N source. NexGen 3406 B2XF variety was planted May 19 at 65,000 seed/acre rate using the grower's planter. This field was centerpivot irrigated.

Minimal crop damaging weather events during the growing season were noted, and growing conditions were such that outstanding yield and excellent quality were obtained. This project escaped hail and other assorted weather events that occurred in the surrounding area. The experiment was able to stay on track with growth and development, and excellent observational, yield, and quality data were obtained.

Harvest results indicated that no statistically significant differences were observed among N rates. Lint yields ranged from a low of $1993 \mathrm{lb} /$ acre with the $0 \mathrm{lb} /$ acre N rate to a high of 2017 $\mathrm{lb} /$ acre for the $50 \mathrm{lb} /$ acre N rate treatment (Table 1). In this field in 2022, a statistically significant lint yield response to N fertilization did not occur. When averaged across all commercially ginned and classed bales for each N rate, Loan value for lint varied from a low of $\$ 0.5124 / \mathrm{lb}$ for the 150 lb N rate to a high of $\$ 0.5547 / \mathrm{lb}$ for the 0 N treatment. Overall average Loan value for the trial across all treatments was $\$ 0.5296 / \mathrm{lb}$. Net value/acre includes the sum of lint Loan value on a per acre basis and net gin credit/acre and then subtracting N fertilizer cost/acre. N cost was determined based on $\$ 515 /$ ton for 32-0-0 (UAN) on the date of application. Differences in net value/acre were statistically significant among N treatments. Due to the extremely expensive $32-0-0$ price, net value/acre value was highest for the $0 \mathrm{~N} /$ acre rate, and was reduced by lack of positive yield response and high N cost by increasing N rates. The values in $\$$ /acre were $1526,1437,1357$, and 1301 , for the $0,50,100$, and $150 \mathrm{lb} /$ acre N rates, respectively. Therefore, N fertilization resulted in no improvement in net returns in this trial, and in fact it reduced net value/acre significantly. High N fertilizer cost, and lower quality reduced the net value/acre of the 50,100 , and 150 lb N/acre rates compared to the unfertilized control treatment. The $\mathbf{0 N}$ /acre rate essentially maximized potential profitability (\$1526/acre). Therefore, the higher fertilizer prices encountered in the spring of 2022 would indicate that from the profit potential perspective, the $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{N}$ fertilizer rate would not necessarily be a bad management decision in this trial.

Table 2 presents in-season data including stand establishment percentage, vigor, nodes above white flower (NAWF) and plant height on three sampling dates, leaf tissue $N$ concentration at both early bloom and cutout, and nodes above cracked boll (NACB) on October 13. Many of the plant vigor parameters were statistically different among $N$ rates for mid- to late-season measurements. Although typically relatively small plants were produced due to the extremely high mepiquat chloride regime, plant heights for higher N rates were significantly greater than the control beginning as early as late July and this difference remained for the rest of the season. The final plant height measurements taken on October $6^{\text {th }}$ indicated that the average plant size across all N rates was just over 23 inches. The $0 \mathrm{~N} /$ acre rate produced the smallest
plants at 21.3 inches tall, and the largest was measured at 24.3 inches tall for the $150 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} /$ acre rate.

Late-bloom period NAWF were higher, compared to the 0 N check, as a result of higher N rates. By September $8^{\text {th }}$, all N rates had almost bloomed through the terminal (cutout), and N fertilization did not result in delayed cutout as represented by statistically similar NAWF at that time. The excellent plant management afforded by timely high mepiquat chloride application resulted in fairly uniform cutout across $\mathbf{N}$ rates.

A total of 35 leaf blade tissue samples were taken from each plot in 3 of the 4 field replicates and submitted to the Servi-Tech Laboratory at Amarillo for tissue nutrient concentration analyses. Leaf blade N concentration was significantly increased by N fertilization by the early bloom growth stage, with the unfertilized check having the lowest (4.9\%) versus N fertilized treatments having $5.2 \%$. By the cutout sampling date of September 8 , the unfertilized check had $4.3 \%$ leaf N concentration compared to $4.4 \%$ or higher for N fertilized treatments. Although not extreme, the late season elevated $\mathbf{N}$ concentration arising from $\mathbf{N}$ fertilization likely had some effect on fiber maturity.

On October $6^{\text {th }}$, significant differences among N rates were noted in nodes above cracked boll or NACB (a quantitative measure of crop maturity). The unfertilized check had fewer NACB (denoting earlier maturity) with 2.1. The higher N rates exhibited from 3.0 to 3.7 NACB. Overall, the higher N rates had statistically significant later maturity than the unfertilized control. Later maturity typically results in lower micronaire due to fiber immaturity.

Table 3 provides the USDA-AMS classing results from each bale for each N rate treatment and the averages of 13 commercially ginned bales per treatment. Averages indicate that color grades were all 11 (highest possible quality). No apparent differences in color grades were noted across N rates. The unfertilized check had the best leaf grades with an average of 1.7. Leaf grades of $1.8,2.1$, and 2.0 were noted for the 50,100 , and $150 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{N}$ rates, respectively. No apparent major differences were noted with respect to leaf grades across N rates. Average staple was over $3432^{\text {nd }}$ s inch, with minimal impact of N fertilization observed.

Average micronaire values were highest in the unfertilized check (3.49) and generally decreased with higher N rates. Micronaire averages were $3.37,3.39$, and 3.28 for the 50,100 , and $150-\mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N}$ rates, respectively. This is a likely a result of the difference in NACB as determined on October $6^{\text {th }}$. Higher N rates had greater NACB, therefore this resulted in somewhat delayed maturity and likely impacted micronaire. Loan chart micronaire discounts are triggered at values of 3.4 and lower. Therefore, the higher $\mathbf{N}$ rates resulted in lower micronaire values and thus Loan rate discounts were encountered in the 50, 100, and 150 lb/acre $\mathbf{N}$ rate treatments.

No bark contamination was noted in commercial bales in any of the N treatments. Average fiber strength ranged from 29.6 to $30.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ tex, and appeared unaffected by N fertilization. Uniformity ranged from 81.3 to $80.6 \%$ and also appeared to be unaffected by N fertilization. Overall negative impacts on various fiber quality parameters are integrated into the CCC Loan value. Average Loan values were 55.47, 52.33, 52.80, and 51.24 cents/lint-lb for the unfertilized check, 50,100 , and $150-\mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N}$ rates, respectively. Therefore, in this commercial field trial, negative CCC Loan value impacts due to $\mathbf{N}$ fertilization were documented.

Although an extremely high and effective mepiquat chloride regime was utilized successfully to keep plants rather small (less than 24.5 inches for all treatments), it is worth noting that excellent plant growth regulator management was unable to overcome the physiological effects of excess N on crop maturity and micronaire. This is an important and profound observation in this excellent applied research field trial.

Disclaimer: Readers should realize that results from one trial do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary. Multisite and multi-year data are always best. For this trial, good scientific techniques were used and the results are presented to indicate what actually occurred in the trial. Context of the environment, overall growing season impact, management techniques, and trial methodology used are important and must be considered.

## Site Information and Methods

Elevation: 3215 ft
Previous crop: 255 bu/acre corn harvested in 2021
Tillage system: strip tillage
N application method and date: strip tillage applied using grower's rig on April 29
Planted: May 19
Replicates: 4 replicates of $0,50,100$, and 150 lb N/acre in a randomized complete block design
Plot width: 12 rows with N rate application, 12 rows harvested
Plot length: fertilized plot length $\sim 2000 \mathrm{ft}$, harvested plot length $\sim 1,200 \mathrm{ft}$ (varied by plot)
Variety planted: NexGen 3406 B2XF
Seeding rate: 65,000 seed/acre
30 -inch rows under center pivot irrigation
Total rainfall: ~6.2 inches (April through September)

$$
\text { April - 0.4, May - 1.2, June - 2.5, July - 1.5, August - 0.3, September } 0.3
$$

Total irrigation: ~17.5 inches

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { March }-1.5, \text { April - 1.5, May - 2.7, June - 2.4, July - 4.1, August - 4.1, } \\
& \text { September - } 1.2
\end{aligned}
$$

Herbicide management:
Preplant burndown (Mar 13)-2 oz/acre flumioxazin + 12 oz/acre 2,4-D LV6 + 8 oz/acre dicamba

Preemergence (May 23) - 1 qt/acre Gramoxone +1 qt/acre diuron $+1 \mathrm{qt} / 100 \mathrm{gal}$ NIS

Post emergence (June 7) - 1 qt/acre Roundup Powermax + 1 pt/acre Outlook + 10 oz/acre clethodim +6 oz/acre acephate $+17 \mathrm{lb} / 100 \mathrm{gal}$ ammonium sulfate

Post emergence (June 23) - 1 qt/acre Roundup Powermax + 12.8 oz/acre Engenia + 17 $\mathrm{lb} / 100 \mathrm{gal}$ ammonium sulfate

Post emergence (Aug 11) -1 qt/acre Roundup Powermax + 12.8 oz/acre Engenia + 16 oz/acre Outlook $+12 \mathrm{oz} /$ acre clethodim $+17 \mathrm{lb} / 100 \mathrm{gal}$ ammonium sulfate

Insecticides: 6 oz/acre acephate (June 7), 0.7 oz/acre Intruder (Jul 8), 0.7 oz/acre Intruder (Jul 15)

Fertilizer: None applied except N rates to experimental units within trial
Harvest aids: 42 oz/acre ethephon +24 oz/acre Folex (Oct 11)
Harvesting: Oct 31 using a 12-row John Deere CS770, with harvested area determined by utilizing a measuring wheel to determine harvested length in each plot. Approximately 1200 ft of plot length was harvested in one round module per individual plot. Round modules were weighed using the CS770 scale, and all round modules (from each of 4 replicates $=4$ total) for each fertilizer treatment were weighed at the Adobe Walls Gin.

Commercial ginning: Round modules for all 4 replicates of each nitrogen rate treatment were staged together and commercially ginned separately by Adobe Walls Gin. Commercial ginning included: cleaning module feeder, clearing gin stream, dumping seed rolls, capturing seed weight, and purging remnant bale in press. This process was initiated before the first module was ginned and then repeated for each nitrogen rate treatment module in the trial.

Remnants were ejected from the bale press and weighed, but not sampled for USDA-AMS classing. Only data from commercial bales are included in classing data for each variety.

Lint value: Table 1 is based on CCC Loan value from commercial ginning and USDA-AMS classing results.
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## $A D @ B E$ WALLS <br> GIN

Table 1. Harvest results for the center pivot irrigated NexGen 3406 B2XF nitrogen rate trial, Ag Partners Farm, Gruver, TX, 2022.

| $N$ rate | Lint turnout | Seed turnout | Bur cotton yield | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lint } \\ & \text { yield } \end{aligned}$ | Seed <br> yield | Lint loan value | Lint loan value | Net gin credit | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { cost } \end{gathered}$ | Net value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lb/acre | ------ \% | -------- | ---------- | /acre --- | ---- | \$/lb | ---- | ---------- | re ---- | ------- |  |
| 0 | 33.6 | 48.7 | 5938 | 1993 | 2892 | 0.5547 | 1106 | 420 | 0 | 1526 | a |
| 50 | 33.2 | 48.1 | 6082 | 2017 | 2923 | 0.5233 | 1056 | 422 | 40 | 1437 | b |
| 100 | 32.9 | 48.5 | 5887 | 1939 | 2855 | 0.5280 | 1024 | 414 | 80 | 1357 | c |
| 150 | 32.8 | 48.3 | 5979 | 1959 | 2887 | 0.5124 | 1004 | 418 | 121 | 1301 | d |
| Test average | 33.1 | 48.4 | 5972 | 1977 | 2889 | 0.5296 | 1048 | 419 | 60 | 1405 |  |
| CV, \% | -- | -- | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | -- | 2.5 | 2.5 | -- | 2.6 |  |
| OSL | -- | -- | 0.3551 | 0.1886 | 0.6358 | -- | 0.0019 | 0.7397 | -- | 0.0001 |  |
| LSD | -- | -- | NS | NS | NS | -- | 34 | NS | -- | 47 |  |

For net value/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different.
CV - coefficient of variation.
OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value.
LSD - least significant difference at the $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ level, NS - not significant.
Note: some columns may not add up due to rounding error.

## Assumes:

\$3.40/cwt commercial ginning cost.
$\$ 430 /$ ton for seed.
Net gin credit is defined as seed credit minus ginning expense.
N cost was determined based on \$515/ton of 32-0-0 (\$0.805/lb actual N )
Net value is defined as gross loan value/acre plus net gin credit minus $\mathbf{N}$ fertilizer cost.
Value for lint based on CCC loan value from commercial ginning and USDA-AMS classing results.

## AD@BE WALLS <br> GIN-

Table 2. Plant observation results from the center pivot irrigated NexGen 3406 B2XF nitrogen rate trial, Ag Partners Farm, Gruver, TX, 2022.

| N rate | Final population | Stand establishment | Vigor | Nodes above white flower |  |  | Plant height |  |  | Leaf tissue N concentration |  | Nodes above cracked boll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Early bloom | +3 weeks | +6 weeks | Early bloom | +3 weeks | +10 weeks | Early bloom | Cutout |  |
| lb/acre | plants/acre | \% | 1-5 visual scale, 5 best | count |  |  | inches |  |  | \% N |  | count |
|  | 18-Jun | 18-Jun | 18-Jun | 27-Jul | 16-Aug | 8-Sep | 27-Jul | 16-Aug | 6-Oct | 27-Jul | 8-Sep | 6-Oct |
| 0 | 51,183 | 78.8 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 18.4 | 21.9 | 21.3 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| 50 | 49,005 | 75.4 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 20.7 | 23.7 | 23.3 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| 100 | 49,005 | 75.4 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 19.7 | 24.9 | 23.9 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 3.3 |
| 150 | 44,649 | 68.7 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 18.8 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| Test average | 48,461 | 74.6 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 19.4 | 23.8 | 23.2 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| CV, \% | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 10.7 | 14.9 | 140.0 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 15.6 |
| OSL | 0.0939 | 0.0945 | 0.5493 | 0.4907 | 0.0853 | 0.9676 | 0.0079 | 0.0168 | 0.0432 | 0.0742 | 0.0327 | 0.0294 |
| LSD | 4,170 | 6.4 | NS | NS | 0.7 | NS | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 |

CV - coefficient of variation.
OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater $F$ value.
LSD - least significant difference at the $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ level, NS - not significant.

## AD@BE <br> WALLS

Table 3. Commercial classing data for the center pivot irrigated NexGen 3406 B2XF nitrogen rate trial, Ag Partners Farm, Gruver, TX, 2022.

| N rate and Bale Number | Color Grade-Quadrant grade-quadrant | Color digit 1 | Color digit 2 | Leaf grade | Staple 32nds inch | Micronaire units | Extraneous matter | Remarks <br> -- | Strength g/tex | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Rd } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+\mathbf{b} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Trash \% area | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Uniformity } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Length 100ths inch | Loan rate cents/lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} /$ acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9109705 | 11-2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 29.4 | 80.9 | 9.0 | 2 | 80.0 | 114 | 57.00 |
| 9109706 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 30.4 | 81.0 | 9.2 | 1 | 82.2 | 115 | 57.15 |
| 9109707 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 29.6 | 81.5 | 9.3 | 2 | 81.7 | 114 | 57.00 |
| 9109708 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 3.7 | . | . | 29.2 | 81.8 | 9.4 | 1 | 82.3 | 113 | 56.55 |
| 9109709 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 30.1 | 81.7 | 9.4 | 2 | 82.7 | 115 | 57.15 |
| 9109710 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 29.9 | 81.6 | 9.4 | 2 | 80.3 | 115 | 57.00 |
| 9109711 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.4 | . | . | 30.2 | 81.5 | 9.4 | 1 | 81.2 | 115 | 52.35 |
| 9109712 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 3.4 | . | . | 30.1 | 81.8 | 9.3 | 1 | 81.5 | 113 | 51.80 |
| 9109713 | 11-2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.4 | . | . | 30.3 | 81.2 | 9.1 | 2 | 81.5 | 114 | 52.35 |
| 9109714 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 31.1 | 82.0 | 9.1 | 1 | 81.3 | 116 | 57.25 |
| 9109715 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 31.6 | 82.0 | 8.9 | 1 | 81.0 | 116 | 57.25 |
| 9109716 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 3.4 | . | . | 28.9 | 82.7 | 8.7 | 1 | 79.8 | 111 | 51.15 |
| 9109717 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 37 | 3.6 | . | . | 30.7 | 82.5 | 9.1 | 1 | 81.0 | 114 | 57.10 |
| Average | -- | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 36.8 | 3.49 | 0/13 bales | evel 1 bark | 30.1 | 81.7 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 81.3 | 114.2 | 55.47 |



## AD@BE <br> WALlS <br> GIN

Table 3 (continued). Commercial classing data for the center pivot irrigated NexGen 3406 B2XF nitrogen rate trial, Ag Partners Farm, Gruver, TX, 2022.

| N rate and Bale Number | Color Grade-Quadrant grade-quadrant | Color <br> digit 1 | Color digit 2 | Leaf grade | Staple 32nds inch | Micronaire units | Extraneous matter | Remarks <br> -- | Strength g/tex | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{R d} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{+ b} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Trash \% area | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Uniformity } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Length 100ths inch | Loan rate cents/lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9109731 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.3 | . | . | 29.8 | 80.5 | 9.5 | 2 | 80.9 | 112 | 51.70 |
| 9109732 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.4 | . | . | 31.1 | 81.1 | 9.7 | 1 | 83.1 | 115 | 52.60 |
| 9109733 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 37 | 3.4 | . | . | 29.6 | 80.7 | 9.6 | 2 | 79.3 | 114 | 51.25 |
| 9109734 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.2 | . | . | 31.9 | 80.8 | 9.6 | 2 | 81.0 | 115 | 50.75 |
| 9109735 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 29.7 | 81.7 | 9.3 | 2 | 78.9 | 114 | 56.40 |
| 9109736 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.4 | . | . | 30.1 | 81.1 | 9.4 | 2 | 81.5 | 112 | 51.80 |
| 9109737 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 35 | 3.4 | . | . | 28.8 | 81.7 | 9.1 | 1 | 81.0 | 110 | 50.25 |
| 9109738 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.4 | . | . | 28.7 | 81.8 | 9.4 | 1 | 80.6 | 112 | 51.65 |
| 9109739 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.5 | . | . | 29.5 | 80.8 | 9.3 | 1 | 81.0 | 112 | 56.45 |
| 9109740 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.4 | . | . | 30.0 | 81.7 | 9.3 | 1 | 81.1 | 116 | 52.35 |
| 9109741 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.3 | . | . | 30.4 | 81.6 | 9.1 | 2 | 81.3 | 112 | 51.80 |
| 9109742 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 29.6 | 81.9 | 9.0 | 1 | 80.4 | 114 | 57.00 |
| 9109743 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.4 | . | . | 30.7 | 81.8 | 9.0 | 1 | 80.5 | 114 | 52.35 |
| Average | -- | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 36.5 | 3.39 | 0/13 bales | level 1 bark | 30.0 | 81.3 | 9.3 | 1.5 | 80.8 | 113.2 | 52.80 |


| 9109744 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 3.3 | . | . | 28.5 | 82.5 | 9.0 | 1 | 79.3 | 110 | 49.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9109745 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 35 | 3.3 | . | . | 30.1 | 81.3 | 9.3 | 1 | 79.4 | 110 | 49.90 |
| 9109746 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.2 | . | . | 30.5 | 81.6 | 9.2 | 2 | 80.7 | 114 | 50.60 |
| 9109747 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.2 | . | . | 30.9 | 81.5 | 9.3 | 2 | 80.6 | 112 | 50.05 |
| 9109748 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.3 | . | . | 30.5 | 81.9 | 9.4 | 2 | 80.5 | 112 | 51.80 |
| 9109749 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.2 | . | . | 27.7 | 81.2 | 9.3 | 2 | 81.6 | 113 | 49.90 |
| 9109750 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.2 | . | . | 30.0 | 81.4 | 9.4 | 2 | 80.2 | 115 | 50.60 |
| 9109751 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.4 | . | . | 29.4 | 80.9 | 9.7 | 2 | 80.5 | 113 | 51.70 |
| 9109752 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.5 | . | . | 30.5 | 80.9 | 9.8 | 2 | 81.8 | 116 | 57.10 |
| 9109753 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.2 | . | . | 30.0 | 80.6 | 9.7 | 2 | 80.8 | 116 | 50.60 |
| 9109754 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 3.3 | . | . | 30.4 | 80.8 | 9.6 | 1 | 81.5 | 113 | 51.80 |
| 9109755 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 37 | 3.3 | . | . | 29.8 | 81.0 | 9.3 | 2 | 80.5 | 115 | 51.75 |
| 9109756 | 11-1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 3.2 | . | . | 30.6 | 81.3 | 9.4 | 1 | 81.6 | 116 | 50.60 |
| Average | -- | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 36.3 | 3.28 | 0/13 bales | level 1 bark | 29.9 | 81.3 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 80.7 | 113.5 | 51.24 |

## Appendix

Ag Partners 2022 NexGen 3406 B2XF - N Rate Trial - Preplant residual $\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ in Field 307-01, lint yield quadratic regression function, net value/acre quadratic regression function, plant height, NAWF, and NACB graphs, Amarillo 2022 cotton heat units and weather data.

## $\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ (Pounds N/Acre) vs. Depth (inches) 2022 Kight - Field 307-01



## NexGen 3406 B2XF - N Rate Trial Gruver, TX - 2022 4 Replicates



Planted: May 19 Days to bloom: 62 First bloom date: Jul 20 Harvested: Oct 31

AD@BE
WALLS GIN-

NexGen 3406 B2XF - N Rate Trial Gruver, TX - 2022 4 Replicates Assumes \$515/Ton for 32-0-0


Assumes:
\$3.40/cwt commercial ginning cost, and \$430/ton for seed. Net gin credit is defined as seed credit minus ginning expense.
N cost was determined based on $\$ 515 /$ ton of $32-0-0$.
Net value is defined as gross loan value/acre plus net gin credit minus N fertilizer cost.
Value for lint based on CCC loan value from commercial ginning and USDA-AMS classing results.

Planted: May 19
Days to bloom: 62
First bloom date: Jul 20
Harvested: Oct 31

## Ag Partners NexGen 3406 B2XF - N Rate Trial Gruver - 2022



Rainfall (inches): Apr 0.4, May 1.2, Jun 2.5, Jul 1.5, Aug 0.3, Sep $0.3=6.2$ Irrigation (inches): Mar 1.5, Apr 1.5, May 2.7, Jun 2.4, Jul 4.1, Aug 4.1, Sep 1.2 = 17.5

## Ag Partners NexGen 3406 B2XF - N Rate Trial <br> 32 oz/acre Gruver - 2022

 Jul 15

## NexGen 3406 B2XF - N Rate Trial Gruver, TX - 2022 3 Replicates



# Amarillo 30-Year Normal (1981-2010) and 2022 Daily Heat Units 

— 2022 -Amarillo Normal


## Amarillo 30-Yr Normal (1981-2010) vs. 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022 Cotton Heat Unit Accumulation From May 1 Through First Hard Freeze



## Amarillo 30-Yr Normal (1981-2010) vs. 2022 Cotton Heat Unit Accumulation From May 1

| \% normal Sep 1-30 | HU from May 1 | \% LTA from May 1 | HU from May 15 | \% LTA from May 15 | HU from May 20 | \% LTA from May 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thru Sep 30 | thru Sep 30 | thru Sep 30 | thru Sep 30 | thru Sep 30 | thru Sep 30 |
| plus 49 | 2599 | plus 31 | 2442 | plus 26 | 2365 | plus 24 |



## Muleshoe <br> 18-Year Mean (2004-2021) and 2022 Daily Total Solar Radiation (MJ/meter²) <br> — 2022 — Muleshoe 18-Yr Mean



Total solar energy, in MJ/meter ${ }^{2}$, calculated from the hourly average global solar radiation rates and converted to energy by integrating over time.

# Amarillo 30-Yr Normal (1981-2010) and May 2022 Air Temperatures 

— Normal High - Actual High - Normal Low - Actual Low


# Amarillo 30-Yr Normal (1981-2010) and June 2022 Air Temperatures 

—Normal High - Actual High —Normal Low -Actual Low


## Amarillo 30-Yr Normal (1981-2010) and July 2022 Air Temperatures

—Normal High —Actual High —Normal Low - Actual Low



## Amarillo 30-Yr Normal (1981-2010) and August 2022 Air Temperatures

— Normal High - Actual High - Normal Low - Actual Low


Heat Units

Normal total: 522
2022: 556 \% of normal: +7

## Amarillo $30-\mathrm{Yr}$ Normal (1981-2010) and September 2022 Air Temperatures

—Normal High - Actual High — Normal Low - Actual Low


Heat Units
Normal total: 286
2022: 427
\% of normal: +49

## Amarillo $30-\mathrm{Yr}$ Normal (1981-2010) and October 2022 Air Temperatures

—Normal High - Actual High - Normal Low - Actual Low



